

1 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990)
2 United States Attorney

3 W. DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CASBN 202121)
4 Acting Chief, Criminal Division

5 JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285)
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 450 Golden Gate Avenue
8 San Francisco, California 94102
9 Telephone: (415) 436-7232
10 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
11 Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Criminal No. CR 07-0479 WHA

18 Plaintiff,)

19 v.)

20 DEBRA EDISON,)

Defendant.)

21
22 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
23 **ORDER EXCLUDING TIME &**
24 **CONTINUING HEARING**

25 The above-captioned matter came before the Court on August 1, 2007, for arraignment on
26 the information. The defendant was represented by Shawn Halbert, Esq., and the government
27 was represented by Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was set for
28 initial appearance in district court on August 16, 2007, at 3:30 p.m. before the Hon. William H.
Alsup, United States District Court Judge.

The Court made a finding that the time from and including August 1, 2007, through and
including August 16, 2007, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
EXCLUDING TIME
CR 07-0479 WHA

§ 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until August 16, 2007, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED: August 2, 2007

/s/

SHAWN HALBERT
Counsel for Debra Edison

DATED: August 2, 2007

3/

JEFFREY R. FINIGAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney

So ordered.

DATED:

JAMES LARSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE